

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/743,543	NEWBOLD, RONALD C.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DHIRU R PATEL	2831	

All Participants:

(1) DHIRU R PATEL.

(2) Michael Stimson.

Status of Application: ____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 December 2004
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

24

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Claim 24 being revised to add – using support bars– to clarify the method of coupling the housing to the first and second support members and put the case in condition for allowance.
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


DHIRU R. PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

12/12/04

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)